

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,374	05/15/2001	Ramesh Keshavaraj	2086B	2086B 9494	
	7590 05/07/2003				
Milliken & Company			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1927 Spartanburg, S			SINGH, ARTI R		
	r.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	4	
		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		AS-				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/855,374	KESHAVARAJ, RAMESH				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Ms. Arti Singh	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 №</u>	<u>//ay 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armitor.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/	a)_(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority direct 50 0.5.5. § 115(
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	ity documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Detect and Trade and Trade						

Application/Control Number: 09/855,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on 08/15/01 and 04/11/02 as paper nos. 2 and 3 has been reviewed signed and are being remitted concurrently. However, they are duplicates of one another.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 3. At the beginning of the Specification (page 1) under the heading "Cross Reference To Related Applications", the continuity data needs to be updated as Application 09/365,372 has matured into U.S.P.N. 6,472,033. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. In claims 2 and 4 there appears to be lack of antecedent basis if anything. Claim 2 asserts its lineage from claim 4, which simply recites, "an airbag cushion as defined in claim 2,"abd claim 2 asserts its dependence from claim 4 and further attempts to define structure to the airbag. The Examiner believes that there could have been a typographical error, in that Applicant meant for claim 2 to depend from claim 1 and not claim 4. Currently, the claims appear circular, however for the purposes of examination the Examiner is relying on claim 2 to further limit the structure set forth in claim 1. Please amend or correct the dependencies.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute0 so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple

Application/Control Number: 09/855,374

Art Unit: 1771

assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F. 3 d 1046, 29 USPQ 2 d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F. 2 d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F. 2d. 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F. 2d. 438,164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F. 2d. 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based in a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130 (b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73 (b).

- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,086,095. Although the conflicting claims are not identical they are not patentably distinct from each other because they appear to obvious variants of one another.
- 8. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,472,033. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they appear to obvious variants of one another.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 703-305-0291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-873-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ars

May 02, 2003

Ms. Arti R. Singh Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771